UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,476	03/30/2004	Xiangyang Zhuang	CML01499M	4791	
²²⁹¹⁷ MOTOROLA,	7590 11/01/2007 INC.	1	EXAM	IINER	
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD				HOM, SHICK C	
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBUR	.G, IL 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/01/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

 $\begin{array}{ll} Docketing. Schaumburg@motorola.com\\ APT099@motorola.com \end{array}$

	Application	No. App	licant(s)	
	10/813,476		JANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary			Art Unit	
	Shick C. Hom			
The MAILING DATE of this com			pondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(E MAILING DATE OF THIS sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, communication. Im statutory period will apply and will ex reply will, by statute, cause the applicate this after the mailing date of this communication.	COMMUNICATION. however, may a reply be timely filed pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai ion to become ABANDONED (35 U	d iling date of this communication. J.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the communication of the communi	2b)⊠ This action is non- ion for allowance except for	-final. formal matters, prosecu		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13,15,17,18,20,3</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,20,22-24 and 28</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,17,18,26 and 31-34</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13, 35</u> is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to res	is/are withdrawn from conside 30 is/are allowed. is/are rejected. and to.	deration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/e Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected	are: a) accepted or b) depicetion to the drawing(s) be highlight ding the correction is required in	neld in abeyance. See 37 C if the drawing(s) is objected	FR 1.85(a). to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the prio3. Copies of the certified cop	of: rity documents have been re rity documents have been re ies of the priority documents ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received in t 7.2(a)).	D	
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary (PTO-	413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent A	 .	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon reconsideration, the amendment of 9/17/07 has been entered and the finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10-13, 15, 17-18, 20, 22-24, 26, and 28-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 11-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 11 lines 11-12, the words "a pilot sequence" seem to refer back to the "pilot sequence" recited in claim 11 line 2. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a pilot sequence" to ---the pilot sequence---. Claims 12-13 are objected to because they depend from objected claim 11.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

Art Unit: 2616

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15 lines 7-8 which recite "a second pilot channel sequence" is not clear as to where is the first pilot channel sequence. In claim 17 line 3 and claim 18 line 1 which recite "the pilot channel sequence" is not clear as to whether it is referring to the pilot channel sequence of claim 15 line 2 or the second pilot channel sequence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to

Application/Control Number: 10/813,476

Art Unit: 2616

point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 26, 31-32, and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mody et al. (2002/0181509) in view of Popovic (6,804,307).

Regarding claims 26, 31:

Mody et al. disclose a method for assigning a pilot sequence to communication units within a communication system, the method comprising the steps of:

assigning a first communication unit a first pilot sequence, wherein the first pilot sequence is selected from a group of pilot sequences constructed from a set of Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequences; and

assigning a second communication unit a second pilot sequence taken from the group of pilot sequences constructed from the set of GCL sequences (paragraphs 0038-0041 recite providing pilot/training symbols to the preamble of the frame of the sub-channels, whereby the pilot/training symbols for each

Art Unit: 2616

sub-channel being unique to the particular sub-channel clearly reads on the same set of sequences being used to construct the first and second pilot sequence; and paragraph 0041 recite the sequences being chirp-like sequences as in claim 26); wherein

the first and second communication units each comprise an antenna of a remote unit (paragraph 0093 recite the training sequence structure is designed such that the same sequence is transmitted from all the transmitting antennas for the purpose of cross-correlation and paragraph 0007 recite transmitting to remote location clearly anticipate the base unit/station or remote unit as in claims 31-32); and wherein

the first pilot sequence is assigned to a set of subcarriers in the frequency domain and utilizing by the first communication unit different sequences for transmission at different times in a transmission frame (the abstract recite transmission being in both the time domain and frequency domain as in claims 33-34).

Mody et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of wherein the first pilot sequence is based on a cyclically extended Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequence as in claim 26.

Popovic from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide wherein the first pilot sequence is

Art Unit: 2616

based on a cyclically extended Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequence (col. 4 lines 1-15 recite use of a cyclic version of a GCL sequence).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wherein the first pilot sequence is based on a cyclically extended Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequence as taught by Popovic in the communications method of Mody et al.

The first pilot sequence being based on a cyclically extended Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequence can be implemented by substituting the cyclically extended Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequence of Popovic for the GCL sequence of Mody. The motivation for using the cyclically extended Generalized Chirp-Like (GCL) sequence as taught by Popovic in the communication method of Mody et al. being that it provides more efficiency for the system uses an easily extended GCL sequence for assignment to the pilot sequences.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-8, 10, 20, 22-24 and 28-30 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 11-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this office action.

Art Unit: 2616

9. Claims 15 and 17-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

10. Claim 35 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 McCoy discloses pilot signal in an FDMA communication system.

 Hosseinian et al. disclose a method and apparatus for channel estimation using time-frequency localized pilots and de-noising techniques.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pham Chi can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization

Art Unit: 2616

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH SH

CHI PHANT EXAMINER